# School Accountability Report Card Reported for School Year 2009-10 Published During 2010-11

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/</a>. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

## I. Data and Access

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <a href="http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g. Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP], test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## **II. About This School**

## **Contact Information (School Year 2010-11)**

This section provides the school's contact information.

	School	District			
School Name	Willows Intermediate School	District Name	Willows Unified School District		
Street	1145 West Cedar Street	Phone Number	(530) 934-6600		
City, State, Zip	Willows, CA 95988	Web Site	www.willowsunified.org		
Phone Number	(530) 934-6633	Superintendent	Mort Geivett, Ed.D.		
Principal	Steve Sailsbery	E-mail Address	kschmies@willowsunified.org		
E-mail Address	ssailsbery@willowsunified.org	CDS Code	11-62661-6007611		

## **School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2009-10)**

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Willows Intermediate School (WIS) is in the Willows Unified School District serving all 5th through 8th grade students in the community. WIS is dedicated to maximizing the expertise and human potential of every teacher because we recognize that an exemplary staff, working as partners with parents, is the key to student development. WIS is a school-wide program striving to meet the needs of all students. With the influx of a variety of cultures, our school faces the challenge of providing for those divergent needs of our total school population. It is the philosophy of the school that there be high expectations for all of its students; a sense of collegiality, community and high standards for order and discipline; and support and involvement of parents in the school. We refer to ourselves as a team, specifically, Team WIS and with that: Team WIS works collaboratively and strives to involve parents and the community to inspire students to achieve their greatest potential in order to Succeed!

It is the desire of our school community to provide a safe and enriched, student learning environment where:

- All students are unique and important.
- Education is a shared responsibility requiring cooperation among the student, home, school, and community.
- All students can learn given needed time and support.
- Learning is a lifelong process requiring self-motivation, self-direction, flexibility, and adaptability.
- All students deserve a safe, caring environment in which to learn promoting self-esteem, tolerance, and compassion.
- All students need to be problem solvers having the ability to find, use, and evaluate information.
- Cooperation is vital for a productive role in society requiring sensitivity, appreciation, and mutual respect.
- High expectations are essential for individuals to achieve their potential.

#### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities.

We welcome parent involvement at Willows Intermediate School. Research shows that students whose parents are involved in their education generally are more successful in school and in life. You have many opportunities to become involved in your student's education through:

- Parent conferences
- Back to School Night
- Parent Booster Club
- English Language Advisory Meetings
- School Site Council
- · Parent volunteer participation
- Fall magazine drive
- · 8th grade graduation fundraisers

Please contact the school principal, Steve Sailsbery at 934-6633, to find out how you can participate.

## Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 5	137
Grade 6	106
Grade 7	131
Grade 8	132
Total Enrollment	506

## Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	2	White	47
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	Two or More Races	
Asian	6.72	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	64
Filipino		English Learners	13
Hispanic or Latino	41	Students with Disabilities	9
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.4		

## **Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)**

This table displays, by grade level, the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

		200	7-08		2008-09			2009-10				
Grade Level	Avg. Class		Number of Slassroom	umber of assrooms			Number o		Avg. Class		Number o	
	Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Size	1-20	21-32	33+
5	33.0	0	1	3	27.5	0	4	0	32.3	0	3	1
6	31.8	0	3	1	31.8	0	2	2	25.3	0	4	0

## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

This table displays, by subject area, the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

		2007-08			2008-09			2009-10				
Subject	Avg.	Numbe	er of Clas	srooms	Avg.	Numbe	r of Clas	srooms	Avg.	Numbe	er of Clas	srooms
	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	17.6	12	7	0	22.0	8	6	3	27.9	1	5	1
Mathematics	23.5	6	5	0	25.7	3	5	2	25.7	3	6	
Science	29.6	0	9	0	29.8	0	6	3	28.1	1	6	1
Social Science	27.9	1	8	1	29.7	0	7	3	28.8	1	3	4

#### III. School Climate

## School Safety Plan (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

An extensive school safety plan, updated annually, in accordance with SB 187, has been developed and implemented. This plan includes the following emergency procedures: traumatic incidents, imminent danger procedure – Code Red, evacuation/relocation procedure, civil defense/disorder, bomb threat/bomb emergency, earthquake, chemical spill, crime in progress, and fire/explosion. Staff and students practice fire, earthquake, and the Code Red Lockdown each semester. Teachers and students are familiar with the procedures. Safety is a high priority. The plan was reviewed and updated November, 2010.

## **Suspensions and Expulsions**

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

D-4-		School		District			
Rate	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	
Suspensions	12.2	8.8	38.1	17.9	13.4	30.4	
Expulsions	0.0	0.6	0.2	0.8	0.6	0.6	

## IV. School Facilities

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms based on the most recent data available, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

To determine the condition of our facilities, our district sent experts from our facilities team to perform an inspection using a survey called the Facilities Inspection Tool, which is issued by the Office of Public School Construction. Based on that survey, we've answered the questions you see on this report. Please note that the information reflects the condition of our buildings as of the date of the report. Since that time, those conditions may have changed.

## School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

Custom Inchested		Repair S	Status	Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[]	[X]	[]	Custodian storage by kitchen and main hallway has some water damage on sheetrock.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

Ourstand Incompared and		Repair S	Status	Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

## V. Teachers

## **Teacher Credentials**

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <a href="http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a>.

Tarakan		District		
Teachers	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2009-10
With Full Credential	22	21	21	72
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	

## **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	2	1	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

## Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tg/.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by					
Location of Classes	NCLB Compliant Teachers	Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers				
This School	100	0				
All Schools in District	100	0				
High-Poverty Schools in District	100	0				
Low-Poverty Schools in District	na	na				

# **VI. Support Staff**

## Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	.488	
Psychologist		
Social Worker		
Nurse	.32	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)		
Other		

# VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school; whether the textbooks and instructional materials are from the most recent adoption (yes/no); and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have enough books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are presenting what the California Content Standards call for.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Most Recent SBE or Local Governing Agency Approved Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	5th grade - Expeditions (Houghton Mifflin) 6th grade - Triumphs (Houghton Mifflin) 7th grade - First Course - Holt Lit. and Lang. Arts (Holt, Rinehart and Winston) 8th grade - Second Course - Holt Lit. and Lang Arts (Holt, Rinehart and Winston)		Yes
Mathematics	5th grade - enVision Scott Foresman - Addison Wesley 6th grade - Holt California - Course 1 - Numbers to Algebra (Holt, Rinehart and Winston) 7th & 8th grade - Pre-Alg Holt California - Course 2 (Holt, Rinehart and Winston) 8th grade - Algebra I (Holt, Rinehart and Winston)		Yes
Science	5th grade - California Science (McMillan/McGraw 2007) 6th, 7th, 8th - Focus on Earth Science/Focus on Life Science/Focus on Physical Science Glenncoe/McGraw		Yes
History-Social Science	5th grade - The Early Years (Houghton Mifflin) 6th, 7th, 8th grade - Ancient Civilization/Medieval to Early Modern Times/U.S. History Independence (Harcourt)		Yes
Foreign Language			Yes
Health			Yes
Visual and Performing Arts			Yes

#### VIII. School Finances

## Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/</a> and teacher salaries can be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</a>.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	6,582	1,039	5,543	76,286
District				74,662
Percent Difference: School Site and District				
State			5,681	61,706
Percent Difference: School Site and State				

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are provided at the school through either categorical funds or other sources.

We are required to report financial data from the 2008-09 school year by the California Dept. of Education. More recent financial data is available on request from the district office.

## Spending per Student:

To make comparisons possible across schools and districts of varying sizes, we first report our overall spending per student. We base our calculations on our average daily attendance (ADA) for the 2008-09 school year.

We've broken down expenditures by the type of funds used to pay for them. Unrestricted funds can be used for any lawful purpose. Restricted funds, however, must be spent for specific purposes set out by legal requirements or the donor. Examples include funding for instructional materials, economic impact aid, and teacher and principal training funds.

All students in grades five through eight have access to daily intervention classes in language arts and mathematics. Interventions are held before school, after school, and during the lunch time and are funded by Title I and Economic Impact Aid (EIA).

The supplemental programs are supported by restricted dollars employing one teacher, one counselor, and one paraprofessional and these programs are paid from Title I, Economic Impact Aid (EIA), and Rural and Low Income grants.

## **Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2008-09)**

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	54,489	38,970
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	70,645	59,776
Highest Teacher Salary	85,111	78,072
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	108,113	94,605
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	109,997	98,480
Average Principal Salary (High)	114,346	106,266
Superintendent Salary	126,769	144,721
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	46.8	38.8
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6.7	6

#### IX. Student Performance

## **Standardized Testing and Reporting Program**

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA for grades three through eight and science in grades five and eight and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Results Web site at <a href="http://star.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sr/documents/pkt5intrpts09.pdf">http://star.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sr/documents/pkt5intrpts09.pdf</a>.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

#### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Cubings		School			District			State	
Subject	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
English-Language Arts	49	50	50	46	41	43	46	50	52
Mathematics	43	46	46	35	37	46	43	46	48
Science	47	57	57	50	54	54	46	50	54
History-Social Science	30	39	39	30	38	38	36	41	44

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Gravia	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced				
Group	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science	
All Students in the LEA	42.9	46.2			
All Student at the School	49.7	46.7			
Male	43	44	56	45	
Female	57	48	60	31	
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	
American Indian or Alaska Native	18.2	18.2	*	*	
Asian	41.9	38.7	*	*	
Filipino	*	*	*	*	
Hispanic or Latino	37.2	42.4	44	29	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	
White	63.1	53.5	70	47	
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	38.3	38.4	47	26	
English Learners	24.3	33.6	*	*	
Students with Disabilities	17.8	20.5	*	*	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	*	*	*	*	

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2009-10)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE Physical Fitness Testing Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/</a>. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Grade Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards				
Level Four of Six Standards		Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards	
5	61.9.	38.9	9.7	
7	77.8	55.6	31.1	

# X. Accountability

#### **Academic Performance Index**

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found at the CDE Academic Performance Index (API) Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/</a>.

## Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2007	2008	2009
Statewide	7	6	6
Similar Schools	8	7	5

#### Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. *Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.* 

Crown	Actual API Change			
Group	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students at the School	-1	0	-10	
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	0	0	-5	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White	16	-2	-15	
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	-2	0	-14	
English Learners			-11	
Students with Disabilities				

## Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2010 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2010 Growth API			
	School	LEA	State	
All Students at the School	761	731	767	
Black or African American			686	
American Indian or Alaska Native			728	
Asian			890	
Filipino			851	
Hispanic or Latino	728	687	715	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			753	
White	801	778	838	
Two or More Races			808	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	711	685	712	
English Learners	674	641	692	
Students with Disabilities		522	580	

## **Adequate Yearly Progress**

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- · API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/</a>.

## Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	No	No
Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	N/A	No

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2010-11)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/</a>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2009-2010	2009-2010
Year in Program Improvement	Year 2	Year 2
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		3
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		50.0

# XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

## **Professional Development**

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Teachers take time each year to improve their teaching skills and to extend their knowledge of the subjects they teach. Tehama, Butte, and Glenn County Offices of Education provide extensive opportunities for additional learning to include: Leadership Matters Workshop Series, Professional Learning Communities Training, and Houghton Mifflin Curriculum Training. Every other Wednesday, is a schoolwide minimum day allowing teachers time to develop Professional Learning Communities and collaboration time.